Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/778,043	LILLY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Carlos Lopez</u> .	(3)	
(2) Edward Brown.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 August 2004	Time: <u>10am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1 and 32-34		
Prior art documents discussed: US 6273925		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) / (Applicant/	applicant s representative Sig	mature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to applicant the instant claim 1 read on a non-cigarette filter such as the intermetallic filter disclosed in US 6273925. It was also noted that claims 32-34 did not require an intermetallic compound hence the rejections would stand. Applicant faxed a set of claims amending the claims to be drawn to a cigarette filter and changing the dependency of claims 32-34 to claims that recite intermetallic compound. A copy of the faxed set of claims is being included.